REVIEW FOR APPLICABILITY OF/COMPLIANCE WITH ORDINANCES/POLICIES

FOR PURPOSES OF CONSIDERATION OF Conner Residence; ZAP 03-056, Log No. 03-02-037

February 21, 2008

<u>I. HABITAT LOSS PERMIT ORDINANCE</u> – Does the proposed project conform to the Habitat Loss Permit/Coastal Sage Scrub Ordinance findings?					
Habitat Loss Felli	·		, and the second		
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT $oxed{\boxtimes}$		
Discussion:					
of the Multiple Spe amount of coastal	cies Conserv sage / chapa permit. The	ation Program rral scrub habi refore, the pro	nts are located outside of the boundaries and the project site contains a small tat. However, the project will not require a ject is exempt from the Habitat Loss		
<u>II. MSCP/BMO</u> - Does the proposed project conform to the Multiple Species Conservation Program and Biological Mitigation Ordinance?					
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT		
Discussion:					
The proposed project and any off-site improvements related to the proposed project are located outside of the boundaries of the Multiple Species Conservation Program. Therefore, conformance with the Multiple Species Conservation Program and the Biological Mitigation Ordinance is not required.					
III. GROUNDWATER ORDINANCE - Does the project comply with the requirements of the San Diego County Groundwater Ordinance?					
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT		
Discussion:					

The project does not require groundwater. The project will not use any groundwater for any purpose; including irrigation or domestic supply. Therefore, it is not subject to the groundwater ordinance.

IV. RESOURCE PROTECTION ORDINANCE - Does the project comply with:

The wetland and wetland buffer regulations (Section 86.604(a) and (b)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Floodways and Floodplain Fringe section (Section 86.604(c) and (d)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The <u>Steep Slope</u> section (Section 86.604(e)(2)(iii))?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Sensitive Habitat Lands section (Section 86.604(f)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites section (Section 86.604(g)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT

Discussion:

Wetland and Wetland Buffers: The site contains no wetland habitats as defined by the San Diego County Resource Protection Ordinance. The site does not have a substratum of predominately undrained hydric soils, the land does not support, even periodically, hydric plants, nor does the site have a substratum that is non-soil and is saturated with water or covered by water at some time during the growing season of each year. Therefore, it has been found that the project complies with Sections 86.604 (a) and (b) of the RPO.

Floodways and Floodplain Fringe: The site is not located within any floodways or floodplain fringe therefore compliance with the Floodways and Floodplain Fringe Sections 86.604(c) and (d) of the Resource Protection Ordinance is not applicable. Therefore, it has been found that the project complies with Sections 86.604(c) and (d) of the RPO.

Steep Slopes: The project site does not contain steep slopes greater than 25 percent gradient therefore compliance with the Steep Slope Section 86.604(e)(2)(iii) is not applicable. Therefore, the project complies with Section 86.604(e)(2)(iii) of the RPO.

Sensitive Habitats: No sensitive habitat lands were identified on the site as determined on a site visit conducted by County staff on April 13, 2006. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Section 86.604(f) of the Resource Protection Ordinance. Therefore, the project complies with Section 86.604(f) of the RPO.

Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites: Based on an analysis of County of San Diego archaeology resource files, archaeological records, maps, and aerial photographs by County of San Diego staff archaeologist, Gail, Wright, it has been determined that the project site does not contain any archaeological resources. Therefore, the project complies with Section 86.604 (g) of the RPO.

<u>V. STORMWATER ORDINANCE (WPO)</u> - Does the project comply with the County of San Diego Watershed Protection, Stormwater Management and Discharge Control Ordinance (WPO)?

YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE
\boxtimes		

Discussion:

DPW staff has reviewed the Stormwater Management Plan (SWMP) received by DPLU on May 16, 2003 and have determined that the SWMP is compliant with the Stormwater Ordinance.

<u>VI. NOISE ORDINANCE</u> – Does the project comply with the County of San Diego Noise Element of the General Plan and the County of San Diego Noise Ordinance?

YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE
\boxtimes		

Discussion:

The proposal would not expose people to nor generate potentially significant noise levels which exceed the allowable limits of the County of San Diego Noise Element of the General Plan, County of San Diego Noise Ordinance, and other applicable local, State, and Federal noise control regulations.

Transportation (traffic, railroad, aircraft) noise levels at the project site are not expected to exceed Community Noise Equivalent Level (CNEL)=60 decibels (dB) limit because review of the project indicates that the project is not in close proximity to a railroad and/or airport. Additionally, the County of San Diego GIS noise model does not indicate that the project would be subject to potential excessive noise levels from circulation element roads either now or at General Plan buildout.

Noise impacts to the proposed project from adjacent land uses are not expected to exceed the property line sound level limits of the County of San Diego Noise Ordinance.